arXiv:1608.07771v1 [math.QA] 28 Aug 2016

Star-product on complex sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$

A. Mudrov*

Dedicated to the memory of Petr Kulish

Department of Mathematics,

University of Leicester,

University Road, LE1 7RH Leicester, UK

Abstract

We construct a $U_q\big(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)\big)$-equivariant local star-product on the complex sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$ as a Non-Levi conjugacy class $SO(2n+1)/SO(2n)$.

Key words: quantum groups, quantization, Verma modules.

AMS classification codes: 17B10, 17B37, 53D55.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we incorporate a simple example of homogeneous space with non-Levi stabilizer into a uniform quantization scheme for closed conjugacy classes of simple algebraic groups. This approach was developed in 2003 for Levi classes and utilized the presence of quantum isotropy subgroup in the total quantum group, [1, 2]. The key distinction of non-Levi classes is the absence of a natural candidate for such a subgroup because its root basis cannot be made a part of the total root basis. Still the coordinate ring of the class can be quantized by an operator realization on certain modules, [3]. Such a quantization is formulated in terms of generators and relations and is not apparently local. On the other hand, a dynamical twist constructed from the Shapovalov form yields a local version of the star product on

*This study is supported in part by the RFBR grant 15-01-03148.

Levi classes, [1, 2] (see also [4, 5] for coadjoint orbits with the Kirillov bracket). It is natural to extend that approach to all closed conjugacy classes. Such a possibility for $\mathbb{S}^4$ was pointed out without proof in [6]. Here we give a solution for all even dimensional spheres.

The original approach to the star product on Levi classes was as follows. Let $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the isotropy Levi subalgebra of a point t and $\mathfrak{p}_\pm \subset \mathfrak{g}$ its parabolic extensions. The point t is associated with a certain weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and a pair of modules M_λ, N_λ of, respectively, highest and lowest weights λ and $-\lambda$. There is a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-invariant form $M_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$, which is non-degenerate if and only if the modules are irreducible. In that case, there exists the inverse form $\mathbb{C} \to N_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$ and its lift $1 \mapsto \mathcal{F} \in U_q(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{p}_-)$. The element $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to a "bidifferential" operator via the left co-regular action on the Hopf dual $\mathcal{A} = U_q^*(\mathfrak{g})$. With this operator, the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ is twisted to a non-associative operation invariant under the right co-regular action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The key observation is that the new multiplication becomes associative when restricted to the subspace $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{k}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{k})$-invariants in $\mathcal{A}$. As a (right) $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, $\mathcal{A}$ has the same structure as the $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\mathbb{C}[G]$, hence $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{k}$ is a flat deformation of $\mathbb{C}[G/K]$. It is known that the initial star product on $\mathcal{A}$ is local, [7], therefore the resulting multiplication is local as well.

In the non-Levi case, one can go along those lines and define $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{k}$ as the joint kernel of certain operators that deform generators of $\mathfrak{k}$. Then the new product will be associative on $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{k}$ as in the Levi case, [8]. However, those operators do not close up to a deformation of $U_q(\mathfrak{k})$ so one cannot be sure that $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{k}$ has the proper size (observe that kernel can decrease under deformation). Therefore the problem is to check the size of $\mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{k}$. We do it for even dimensional spheres regarded as conjugacy classes of $SO(2n+1)$. Note that odd dimensional spheres belong to the second connected component of the orthogonal group $O(2n)$, and the current methods are not directly applicable.

The paper consists of five sections. After the introduction we recall quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$ via operator realization on a highest weight module M_λ in Section 2. In the next section we construct a system of vectors that spans M_λ. We prove it to be a basis in Section 4 by computing the Shapovalov form on M_λ. This way we show that M_λ is irreducible and the form is invertible. In the final section we show that for locally finite $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V_q, the dimension of $V_q^\mathfrak{k}$ is equal to $\dim V^\mathfrak{k}$ of the classical $\mathfrak{k}$-invariants. We do it via realization of finite dimensional module V_q with $\dim V_q^\mathfrak{k} > 0$ in the coordinate ring of the quantum Euclidean plane $\mathbb{C}_q^{2n+1}$. This way we complete the task.

2 Operator realization of $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$

Throughout the paper, $\mathfrak{g}$ stands for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2n+1)$. We are looking for quantization of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$ that is invariant under an action of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We regard $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$ as a conjugacy class of the Poisson group $G = SO(2n+1)$ equipped with the Drinfeld-Sklyanin bracket corresponding to the standard solution $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ of the classical Yang-baxter equation, [9]. The group G supports the Semenov-Tian-Shansky bivector field

$$r_-^{l,l} + r_-^{r,r} - r_-^{r,l} - r_-^{l,r} + r_+^{r,l} - r_+^{l,r} \tag{2.1}$$

making it a Poisson G-space with respect to conjugation. Here r_- and r_+ are, respectively, the skew-symmetric and invariant symmetric parts of r, and the superscripts designate the vector fields

$$(\xi^l f)(g) = \frac{d}{dt} f(g e^{t\xi})|_{t=0}, \quad (\xi^r f)(g) = \frac{d}{dt} f(e^{t\xi} g)|_{t=0},$$

where $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and f is a smooth function G. This bivecftor field (2.1) is tangent to every conjugacy class of G. In particular, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$ becomes a homogeneous Poisson-Lie manifold over G.

Quantization of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ along (2.1) gives rise to the reflection equation dual of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, [10]. Accordingly, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$ can be presented as its quotient. Here we recall that construction.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the Cartan subalgebra equipped with the inner product restricted from an ad-invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$. We endow the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*$ with the inverse form, $(.,.)$. For any $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we denote by $h_\mu \in \mathfrak{h}$ the vector such that $\nu(h_\mu) = (\nu, \mu)$ for all $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We normalize the inner product so that the short roots have length 1.

The root system R is expressed through the orthogonal basis $\Lambda = \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ consisting of short roots: the basis of simple positive roots Π is formed by $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1, \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1}$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. We distinguish the subalgebra $\mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(n) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of maximal rank with the root basis $\Pi_\mathfrak{l} = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=2}^n$.

Throughout the paper we assume that $q \in \mathbb{C}$ is not a root of unity. We use the notation $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$, $[z]_q = \frac{q^z - q^{-z}}{q - q^{-1}}$, and $[x,y]_a = xy - ayx$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$. The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $q^{\pm h_\alpha}$, $e_{\pm\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Pi$, such that $q^{h_\alpha} e_{\pm\beta} q^{-h_\alpha} = q^{\pm(\alpha,\beta)} e_{\pm\beta}$ and $[e_\alpha, e_{-\beta}] = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}[h_\alpha]_q$ forall $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$. The generators $e_{\pm\alpha}$ satisfy the q-Serre relations

$$[e_{\pm\alpha}, [e_{\pm\alpha}, e_{\pm\beta}]_q]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Pi \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{2(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)} = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad [e_{\pm\alpha_2}, e_{\pm\delta}] = 0,$$

where $e_{\pm\delta} = [e_{\pm\alpha_1}, [e_{\pm\alpha_1}, e_{\pm\alpha_2}]_q]_{\bar{q}}$. Also, $[e_{\pm\alpha}, e_{\pm\beta}] = 0$ once $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, [9].

The subset $\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}} = \{\delta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathrm{R}^+$ forms a root basis for a subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$. Although $e_{\pm\delta}$ are deformations of classical root vectors, they do not generate an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-subalgebra in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, so we have no natural subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{k})$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Still $e_{\pm\delta}$ play a role in what follows.

Fix the weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by the conditions $q^{2(\lambda,\varepsilon_i)} = -q^{-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define two one-dimensional representations $\mathbb{C}_{\pm\lambda}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ by $e^{h_\alpha} \mapsto q^{\pm(\lambda,\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and by zero on the generators on non-zero weight. Extend them to representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{p}_\pm)$ by zero on $e_{\pm\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then set

$$\hat{M}_\lambda = U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_q(\mathfrak{p}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, \quad \hat{N}_\lambda = U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_q(\mathfrak{p}_-)} \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}.$$

Denote by $1_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ and $1^*_\lambda \in N_\lambda$ their highes/lowest weight generators. Due to the special choice of λ, the vectors $e_{-\delta}1_\lambda \in \hat{M}_\lambda$ and $e_\delta 1^*_\lambda \in \hat{N}_\lambda$ are killed by e_α and, respectively, $e_{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. They generate submodules $\hat{M}_{\lambda-\delta} \subset M_\lambda$ and $\hat{N}_{\lambda-\delta} \subset N_\lambda$. Set $M_\lambda = \hat{M}_\lambda / \hat{M}_{\lambda-\delta}$ and $N_\lambda = \hat{N}_\lambda / \hat{M}_{\lambda-\delta}$.

The module M_λ supports quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$ in the following sense. The sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$ is isomorphic a subvariety in G of orthogonal matrices with eigenvalues ± 1, where 1 is multiplicity free. It is a conjugacy class with a unique point of intersection with the maximal torus relative to $\mathfrak{h}$. The isotropy subalgebra of this point is $\mathfrak{k}$. Quantization of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ along the Poisson bracket (2.1) can be realized as a subalgebra $\mathbb{C}_q[G] \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ invariant under the adjoint action. The image of $\mathbb{C}_q[G]$ in $\mathrm{End}(M_\lambda)$ is an equviariant quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$, see [11] for details.

3 Spanning M_λ

In this section we introduce a set of vectors in M_λ which is proved to be a basis in the subsequent section. Here we prove that it spans M_λ. Put $f_\alpha = e_{-\alpha}$ for all simple roots and define

$$f_{\varepsilon_i} = [\dots[f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}]_{\bar{q}}, \dots, f_{\alpha_i}]_{\bar{q}} \dots]_{\bar{q}} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n.$$

The elements f_{ε_i} can be included in the set of composite root vectors generating a Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt basis in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, [12]. By deformation arguments, the set of monomials $\mathcal{B} = \{f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_{\varepsilon_1}} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_{\varepsilon_n}} 1_\lambda\}_{m_1,\dots,m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a basis in M_λ extended over the local ring $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, where $\hbar = \log q$, see [11] and references therein. We prove that $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis once q is not a root of unity.

Let $\mathfrak{k}_m^-$ denote the subspace $\mathbb{C}f_\delta + \mathrm{Span}\{f_{\alpha_2}, \dots, f_{\alpha_m}\} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $1 < i \leqslant m$, the elements f_{ε_i} belong to the normalizer of the left ideal $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_m^-$.*

Proof. Serre relations readily yield $[f_{\alpha_m}, f_{\varepsilon_m}]_{\bar{q}} = 0$, for $m > 1$. For $1 < i < m$, the identity $[f_{\alpha_i}, f_{\varepsilon_m}] = 0$ follows from Lemma A.1. So we are left to study how f_{α_i} commute with f_δ.

The element f_δ commutes with f_{ε_2}, which completes the proof for $m = 2$. Suppose that $m = 3$. All calculations below are done modulo $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_m^-$. Denote $a = [2]_q$, then

$$f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{a} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^2, \quad f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^3 = (a - \frac{1}{a}) f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^2, \quad f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^3 = (a^2 - 1) f_{\alpha_1}^2 f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the left equality means $f_\delta \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_3^-$, and the last two equalities are obtained from it and from $[f_\delta, f_{\alpha_1}] = 0$. Furthermore, Serre relations along with (3.2) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} (f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_3}) f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1} &= f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_3} (f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}) = \frac{1}{a} f_{\alpha_1} (f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2}) f_{\alpha_1}^2 = \frac{1}{a^2} f_{\alpha_3} (f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}^2) f_{\alpha_1}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{a} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2} (f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^2) - \frac{1}{a^2} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2}^2 f_{\alpha_1}^3 = \frac{1}{a^2 - 1} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2}^2 f_{\alpha_1}^3 - \frac{1}{a^2} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2}^2 f_{\alpha_1}^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{a^2(a^2 - 1)} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2}^2 f_{\alpha_1}^3, \\
f_{\alpha_2} (f_{\alpha_1}^2 f_{\alpha_3}) f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1} &= f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_3} (f_{\alpha_1}^2 f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}) = \frac{1}{(a^2 - 1)} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiply the first equality by a and subtract from the second:

$$f_\delta f_{\varepsilon_3} = (f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}^2 - a f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1}) f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{a(a^2 - 1)} (a f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2} - f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2}^2) f_{\alpha_1}^3 \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_3^-.$$

This completes the case $m = 3$. For $m \geqslant i > 3$, put $f_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_3} = [f_{\alpha_4}, \dots [f_{\alpha_{i-1}}, f_{\alpha_i}]_{\bar{q}} \dots]_{\bar{q}} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_m^-$. Then $f_\delta f_{\varepsilon_i} = -q^{-1} f_\delta f_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_3} f_{\varepsilon_3} = -q^{-1} f_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_3} f_\delta f_{\varepsilon_3} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_m^-$, as required. □

Corollary 3.2. *The set $\mathcal{B}$ spans M_λ. The action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ on M_λ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\alpha_1} f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda &= f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1 + 1} f_{\varepsilon_2}^{m_2} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda, \\
f_{\alpha_{i+1}} f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda &= -q[m_i]_q f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_i}^{m_i - 1} f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}^{m_{i+1} + 1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda, \quad i > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First let us show that $f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f_{\varepsilon_i} = q^{-1} f_{\varepsilon_i} f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \mod U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_{i+1}^-$. Indeed, for $i = 1$ we have $f_{\varepsilon_2} f_{\varepsilon_1} = q^{-1} f_{\varepsilon_1} f_{\varepsilon_2} - q^{-1} f_\delta = q^{-1} f_{\varepsilon_1} f_{\varepsilon_2} \mod U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_2^-$ as required. For $i > 2$ we get

$$[f_{\varepsilon_i}, f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}]_q = [[f_{\varepsilon_1}, f_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_2}]_{\bar{q}}, f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}]_q = [f_{\varepsilon_1}, [f_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_2}, f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}]] + [[f_{\varepsilon_1}, f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}]_q, f_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_2}]_{\bar{q}}.$$

The first summand vanishes since $f_{\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_2}$ commutes with $f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}$, by Lemma A.1. The internal commutator in the second summand is $[f_\delta, f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}-\varepsilon_2}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}^-_{i+1}$, so this term is in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}^-_{i+1}$ as well.

Now we can complete the proof. The linear span $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}$ is invariant under the obvious action of $f_{\alpha_1} = f_{\varepsilon_1}$. For $i > 0$, we push $f_{\alpha_{i+1}}$ to the right in the product

$$f_{\alpha_{i+1}} f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda = f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\alpha_{i+1}} f_{\varepsilon_i}^{m_i} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda.$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, we replace the product $f_{\alpha_{i+1}} f_{\varepsilon_i}^{m_i}$ with

$$[f_{\alpha_{i+1}}, f_{\varepsilon_i}^{m_i}]_{q^{m_i}} = -q \sum_{l=0}^{m_i-1-l} q^l f_{\varepsilon_i}^l f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f_{\varepsilon_i}^{m_i-1-l} = -q[m_i]_q f_{\varepsilon_i}^{m_i-1} f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \mod U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}^-_{j+1}.$$

For any form Φ in $n-i$ variables, the ideal $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}^-_{j+1}$ kills $\Phi(f_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}, \dots, f_{\varepsilon_n})1_\lambda$ by Lemma 3.1. This yields the action of $f_{\alpha_{i+1}}$ on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}$ and proves its $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$-invariance. Since $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B} \ni 1_\lambda$, it coincides with M_λ. □

4 Invariant bilinear form $M_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$

Introduce positive root vectors by

$$e_{\varepsilon_i} = [\dots [e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\alpha_{i-1}}]_q \dots e_{\alpha_1}]_q, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n.$$

The elements $f_{\varepsilon_i}, e_{\varepsilon_i}$ are known to generate $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$-subalgebras in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with the commutation relation $[e_{\varepsilon_i}, f_{\varepsilon_i}] = \frac{q^{h_{\varepsilon_i}} - q^{-h_{\varepsilon_i}}}{q-q^{-1}}$. Define by induction $\tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} = [e_{\alpha_{i+1}}, \tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_i}]_{\bar{q}}$ with $\tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_1} = e_{\alpha_1}$. Then $\omega(f_{\varepsilon_i}) = \tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_i}$, where ω is the Chevalley anti-algebra involution of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Fix the comultiplication on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as in [12]:

$$\Delta(e_\alpha) = e_\alpha \otimes q^{h_\alpha} + 1 \otimes e_\alpha, \quad \Delta(f_\alpha) = f_\alpha \otimes 1 + q^{-h_\alpha} \otimes f_\alpha,$$

and $\Delta(q^{h_\alpha}) = q^{h_\alpha} \otimes q^{h_\alpha}$, for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. Then $\gamma^{-1}(e_\alpha) = -q^{-h_\alpha} e_\alpha$ for the inverse antipode γ^{-1}. Define a map $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$, $x \mapsto \langle x \rangle$, as the composition of the projection $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ mod $\mathfrak{g}_- U_q(\mathfrak{g}) + U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$ with evaluation at λ. The assignment $(x1_\lambda, y1^*_\lambda) = \langle \gamma^{-1}(y)x \rangle$, $\forall x, y \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, defines a unique invariant bilinear form $M_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $(1_\lambda, 1^*_\lambda) = 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $k_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$\langle e_{\varepsilon_n}^{k_n} \dots e_{\varepsilon_1}^{k_1} f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^n \delta_{k_i,m_i} [m_i]_q! \theta^{-m_i} q^{-m_i(\lambda,\varepsilon_i) - \frac{m_i}{2}} (-1)^{m_i}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\theta = q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. The δ-symbols are due to orthogonality of weight subspaces $M_\lambda[\mu]$ and $N_\lambda[\nu]$ unless $\mu = -\nu$. Now we prove factorization of the matrix coefficients on setting $k_i = m_i$ for all i. Observe that

$$e_\varepsilon^m f_\varepsilon^m = \prod_{l=1}^{m} \frac{[\frac{l}{2}]_q}{[\frac{1}{2}]_q} \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} [h_\varepsilon - \frac{l}{2}]_q \mod U_q(\mathfrak{g}) e_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \Lambda, \tag{4.4}$$

and $\langle e_\varepsilon^m f_\varepsilon^m \rangle = (-1)^m q^{-m(\lambda,\varepsilon)-\frac{m}{2}} \theta^{-m} [m]_q!$ on substitution $q^{2(\lambda,\varepsilon)} = -q^{-1}$. Suppose we have proved that the l.h.s. of (4.3) is equal to $\langle e_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \dots e_{\varepsilon_s}^{m_s} f_{\varepsilon_s}^{m_s} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \rangle \prod_{l=1}^{s-1} \langle e_{\varepsilon_l}^{m_l} f_{\varepsilon_l}^{m_l} \rangle$ for some $s = 1, \dots, n-1$. For all $i > s$, $[e_{\varepsilon_s}, f_{\varepsilon_i}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}_i^-$. Then $e_{\varepsilon_s} \dots f_{\varepsilon_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} 1_\lambda = 0$, by Lemma 3.1. Now the presentation (4.4) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_s$, along with orthogonality of different ε_i, gives $\langle e_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \dots e_{\varepsilon_{s-1}}^{m_{s-1}} f_{\varepsilon_{s-1}}^{m_{s-1}} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \rangle \prod_{l=1}^{s} \langle e_{\varepsilon_l}^{m_l} f_{\varepsilon_l}^{m_l} \rangle$. Induction on s completes the proof. □

There is also ω-contravariant form on M_λ defined by $x1_\lambda \otimes y1_\lambda \mapsto \langle \omega(x) y \rangle$, for all $x, y \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. It is called the Shapovalov form and related with the invariant form in the obvious way.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that q is not a root of unity. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{B} \subset M_\lambda$ is an orthogonal (non-normalized) basis with respect to the Shapovalov form.*
2. *The modules M_λ and N_λ are irreducible.*
3. *The tensor*

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{m_1,\dots,m_n=0}^{\infty} (-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n q^{-\frac{m_i^2}{2} - m_i(i-1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n [m_i]_q!} \tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots \tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \otimes f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n}$$

is a lift of the inverse invariant form, $\mathbb{C} \to N_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda \to U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $1 \mapsto \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. 1) Corollary 3.2 with Lemma 4.3 prove completeness of $\mathcal{B}$ and independence. Since $\langle e_{\varepsilon_n}^{k_n} \dots e_{\varepsilon_1}^{k_1} f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \rangle = q^{\sum_{i=1}^n 2k_i(i-1)} \langle \omega(f_{\varepsilon_1}^{k_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{k_n}) f_{\varepsilon_1}^{m_1} \dots f_{\varepsilon_n}^{m_n} \rangle$, the basis $\mathcal{B}$ is orthogonal with respect to the Shapovalov form. 2) Non-degeneracy of the form implies irreducibility of M_λ. 3) The normalizing coefficients in $\mathcal{F}$ are obtained from (4.3) via the equality $(x1_\lambda, \gamma(y) 1_\lambda^*) = \langle yx \rangle$ and $\gamma(e_{\varepsilon_i}) = -\tilde{e}_{\varepsilon_i} q^{-h_{\varepsilon_i} - i + 1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. □

5 Star-product on $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}_q$ the RTT dual of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with multiplication $\bullet$ and the Hopf paring $(.,.)$. It is equipped with the two-sided action (here $a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} = \Delta(a)$ in the Sweedler notation)

$$x \triangleright a = a^{(1)} (a^{(2)}, x), \quad a \triangleleft x = (a^{(1)}, x) a^{(2)}, \quad \forall x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_q,$$

making it a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule algebra. The multiplication $\bullet$ is known to be local, [7]. We define a new operation $\star$ by

$$f \star g = (\mathcal{F}_1 \triangleright f) \bullet (\mathcal{F}_2 \triangleright g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{A}_q, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\triangleright$ stands for the left co-regular action. It is obviously equivariant with respect to the right co-regular action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. However, $\star$ is not associative on the entire $\mathcal{A}_q$.

For every $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V we define $V^{\mathfrak{k}} \subset V$ to be the intersection of the space $V^{\mathfrak{l}}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-invariants with the joint kernel of the operators e_δ and f_δ. For $q = 1$, this definition coincides with the subspace of $U(\mathfrak{k})$-invariants.

Proposition 5.1. *$\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ is an associative $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-algebra with respect to $\star$.*

Proof. Identify M_λ^* with N_λ^{**} and $M_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda^*$ with the module of locally finite endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}^\circ_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\lambda)$. For every completely reducible module V, there is a unique $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^*, \mathrm{End}^\circ_{\mathbb{C}}(V))$ for each $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(M_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda, V)$, due to the natural isomorphism of the Hom-sets.

Let $\hat{M}_\lambda$ and $\hat{N}_\lambda$ denote the Verma modules, i. e. induced from the $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$-modules $\mathbb{C}_{\mp\lambda}$. Every homomorphism $M_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda \to V$ amounts to a homomorphism $\hat{M}_\lambda \otimes \hat{N}_\lambda \to V$ vanishing on $\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}} \hat{M}_{\lambda-\alpha} \otimes \hat{N}_\lambda + \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}} \hat{M}_\lambda \otimes \hat{N}_{\lambda-\alpha}$. Therefore ϕ corresponds to a unique zero weight element $\Phi(\phi) \in \cap_{\alpha\in\pm\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}} \ker e_\alpha = V^{\mathfrak{k}}$. Given also $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(M_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda, W)$ there is a unique element $\phi \circledast \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(V \otimes W, \mathrm{End}^\circ_{\mathbb{C}}(M))$ such that $\widetilde{\phi \circledast \psi} = \tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\psi}$, where $\circ$ is the multiplication in $\mathrm{End}^\circ(M_\lambda)$. Define $\Phi(\phi) \circledast \Phi(\psi) = \Phi(\phi \circledast \psi) \in (V \otimes W)^{\mathfrak{k}}$.

Now take $V = W = \mathcal{A}_q$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ (with respect to the $\triangleright$-action). Then $f \star g$ is the image of $f \circledast g \in (\mathcal{A}_q \otimes \mathcal{A}_q)^{\mathfrak{k}}$ under the multiplication $\bullet\colon \mathcal{A}_q \otimes \mathcal{A}_q \to \mathcal{A}_q$, which is again in $\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ since $\bullet$ is $\triangleright$-equivariant. Associativity of $\star$ follows from associativity of $\circ$ and $\bullet$. □

Theorem 5.2. *The right $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a deformation of the $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{k}}$. The multiplication $\star$ makes $\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ an associative $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-algebra, a quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$.*

Proof. We only need to make sure that $\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}} = \oplus_V {}^*V^{\mathfrak{k}} \otimes V$ is a deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{k}}$. It is done by Proposition 6.2 below. □

Remark, that despite $\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ goes over to $\mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{k}}$ at $q = 1$, the fact $\mathcal{A}_q^{\mathfrak{k}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{k}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ needs a proof because $\ker e_\delta$ and $\ker f_\delta$ may decrease under deformation. That is done in the next section.

6 Quantum Euclidian plane

To complete the proof of Theorem 5.2, it is sufficient to check $\dim V_q^{\mathfrak{k}} = \dim V^{\mathfrak{k}}$ for all finite dimensional modules V that appear in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{S}^{2n}]$. They all can be realized in the polynomial ring of the Euclidian plane $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, [14]. So we going to look at its quantum version.

Choose a basis $\{x_i\}_{i=-n}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, $N = 2n+1$, and define a representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ by the assignment

$$e_{\alpha_i} \triangleright x_k = \delta_{k,i-1}x_i - \delta_{k,-i}x_{-i+1}, \quad f_{\alpha_i} \triangleright x_k = \delta_{k,i}x_{i-1} - \delta_{k,-i+1}x_{-i}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then x_i carry weights ε_i subject to $\varepsilon_i = -\varepsilon_{-i}$. The quantum Euclidian plane $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N]$ is an associative algebra generated by $\{x_i\}_{i=-n}^n$ with relations

$$x_i x_j = q^{-1}x_j x_i, \quad i > j, \quad i \neq j, j', \quad x_1 x_{-1} - x_{-1}x_1 = (q-1)x_0^2,$$

$$x_j x_{-j} - x_{-j}x_j = q x_{j-1}x_{-j+1} - q^{-1}x_{-j+1}x_{j-1}, \quad j > 1.$$

These relations are equivalent to those presented in [13].

The representation on $\mathbb{C}^N$ extends to an action $\triangleright$ on $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N]$ making it a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra. Let θ denote the involutive algebra and anti-coalgebra linear automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ determined by the assignment $e_\alpha \to -f_\alpha$, $q^{h_\alpha} \to q^{-h_\alpha}$. Define also an anti-algebra linear involution on $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N]$ by $\iota(x_i) = (x_{-i})$. They are compatible with the action $\triangleright$, that is, $\iota(u \triangleright x) = \theta(u) \triangleright \iota(x)$ for all $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $x \in \mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N]$.

Lemma 6.1. *For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the monomials x_0^k are killed by e_δ and f_δ.*

Proof. Put $c_k = \frac{q^{-k}-1}{q^{-1}-1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $f_{\alpha_1}x_0^k = 0$, the equality $f_\delta \triangleright x_0^k = 0$ follows from

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha_1}x_0^k &= -x_{-1}x_0^{k-1}c_k, \\ f_{\alpha_1}^2 x_0^k &= x_{-1}^2 x_0^{k-2} q c_{k+1}c_k, \\ f_{\alpha_2}f_{\alpha_1}^2 x_0^k &= -x_{-2}x_{-1}x_0^{k-2}c_{k+1}c_k[2]_q, \\ f_{\alpha_1}f_{\alpha_2}f_{\alpha_1}x_0^k &= -x_{-2}x_{-1}x_0^{k-2}c_{k+1}c_k. \end{aligned}$$

For e_δ, it is obtained by applying the involution ι, as $0 = \iota(e_\delta \triangleright x_0^k) = -f_\delta \triangleright x_0^k = 0$. □

The q-version of the quadratic invariant is $C_q = \frac{1}{1+q}x_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n q^{i-1}x_i x_{-i} \in \mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N]$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_q^m \subset \mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N]$ denote the vector space of polynomials of degree m, and $\mathfrak{H}_q^m$ the irreducible submodule of harmonic polynomials of degree m. Then

$$\mathbb{C}_q[\mathbb{C}^N] = \oplus_{m=0}^\infty \mathfrak{P}_q^m, \quad \mathfrak{P}_q^m = \oplus_{l=0}^{[\frac{m}{2}]} C_q^l \mathfrak{H}_q^{m-2l}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{P}^m$ and $\mathfrak{H}^m$ denote their classical counterparts.

Proposition 6.2. *For any finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V_q, $\dim V_q^{\mathfrak{k}}$ is equal to $\dim V^{\mathfrak{k}}$ of the classical $\mathfrak{k}$-invariants.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\dim(\mathfrak{P}_q^m)^{\mathfrak{k}} = \dim(\mathfrak{P}^m)^{\mathfrak{k}}$. In the classical limit, the trivial $\mathfrak{k}$-submodule in $\mathfrak{H}^{m-2l}$ is multiplicity free, so its dimension in $\mathfrak{P}^m$ is $[\frac{m}{2}]+1$. On the other hand, the subspace of $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-invariants is spanned by $\{C_q^l x_0^{m-2l}\}_{l=0}^{[\frac{m}{2}]}$ and has the same dimension. Since all $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-invariants are killed by e_δ, f_δ, in view of Lemma 6.1, this proves the statement. □

A

Lemma A.1. *Suppose x, y, z satisfy the relations*

$$[y,[y,x]_q]_{\bar q} = 0, \quad [y,[y,z]_q]_{\bar q} = 0, \quad [x,z] = 0.$$

Then $[[x,y]_{\bar q},[y,z]_q] = 0, \quad [y,[x,[y,z]_q]_q] = 0.$

Proof. The proof is based on the "Jacobi identity"

$$[X,[Y,Z]_a]_b = [[X,Y]_c,Z]_{\frac{ab}{c}} + c[Y,[X,Z]_{\frac{b}{c}}]_{\frac{a}{c}},$$

which holds true for all elements X, Y, Z of an associative algebra and scalars a, b, c with invertible c. Apply it to the equalities

$$0 = [x,[y,[y,z]_q]_{\bar q}]_{\bar q^2} = [z,[y,[y,x]_q]_{\bar q}]_{\bar q^2} = 0,$$

which follow from the assumptions, and rewrite them as

$$0 = [[x,y]_{\bar q},[y,z]_q]_{\bar q^2} + \bar q[y,[x,[y,z]_q]_{\bar q}] = [[z,y]_{\bar q},[y,x]_q]_{\bar q^2} + \bar q[y,[z,[y,x]_q]_{\bar q}] = 0. \qquad \text{(A.6)}$$

Observe that the second terms cancel due to $[x,[y,z]_q]_{\bar q} = [[x,y]_{\bar q},z]_q = [z,[y,x]_q]_{\bar q}$. Then

$$[[x,y]_{\bar q},[y,z]_q]_{\bar q^2} = [[z,y]_{\bar q},[y,x]_q]_{\bar q^2} = [[y,z]_q,[x,y]_{\bar q}]_{\bar q^2}$$

This yields $(1+q^{-2})[[y,z]_q,[x,y]_{\bar q}] = 0$ and proves the lemma, due to (A.6). □

References

[1] Donin, J., Mudrov, A.: *Dynamical Yang–Baxter equation and quantum vector bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 719–760.

[2] Enriquez, B., Etingof, P., Marshall, I.:*Quantization of some Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrices and Poisson homogeneous spaces*. Contemp. Math. **433** (2007), 135176.

[3] Ashton, T., Mudrov, A.: *Representations of quantum conjugacy classes of orthosymplectic groups*, J. Math. Sci. **213** (2016), 637– 650.

[4] Alekseev, A. Lachowska, A.: *Invariant $*$-product on coadjoint orbits and the Shapovalov pairing*. — Comment. Math. Helv. **80** (2005), 795-810.

[5] Enriquez, B., Etingof, P.: *Quantization of classical dynamical r-matrices with nonabelian base*. Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 603–650.

[6] Mudrov, A.: *Quantum sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ as a non-Levi conjugacy class*, Lett. Math. Phys. **101** (2012), 157–172.

[7] Etingof, P., Kazhdan, D.: *Quantization of Poisson algebraic groups and Poisson homogeneous spaces*. Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995) (A. Connes, K. Gawedzki and J. Zinn-Justin, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 935–946.

[8] Karolinsky, E., Stolin, A., Tarasov, V.: *Irreducible highest weight modules and equivariant quantization*, Adv. Math. **211** (2007), 266283.

[9] Drinfeld, V.: Quantum Groups. In Proc. Int. Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley 1986, Gleason, A. V. (eds) pp. 798–820, AMS, Providence (1987).

[10] Donin, J., Mudrov, A.: *Reflection Equation, Twist, and Equivariant Quantization*, Isr. J. Math. **136** (2003), 11–28.

[11] Mudrov, A.:*Non-Levi closed conjugacy classes of $SO_q(N)$*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 081701.

[12] Chari, V. and Pressley, A.: A guide to quantum groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1994.

[13] Faddeev, L., Reshetikhin, N., and Takhtajan, L.: Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. Leningrad Math. J., **1** (1990), 193–226.

[14] Vilenkin, N.: Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations, AMS, 1978.